## African Journal of Biochemistry Research Volume 6 Number 2, January 2012 ISSN 1996-0778

### **ABOUT AJBR**

The African Journal of Biochemistry Research (AJBR) (ISSN 1996-0778) is published Monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

African Journal of Biochemistry Research (AJBR) provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of Biochemistry such as Nutritional biochemistry, Analytical biochemistry, Clinical Biochemistry, Human and Plant Genetics, Molecular and Cell Biology, Enzymology, Toxicology, Plant Biochemistry, Biochemistry Education etc. The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles are peer-reviewed.

### **Submission of Manuscript**

Please read the **Instructions for Authors** before submitting your manuscript. The manuscript files should be given the last name of the first author

Click here to Submit manuscripts online

If you have any difficulty using the online submission system, kindly submit via this email ajbr@academicjournals.org.

With questions or concerns, please contact the Editorial Office at ajbr@academicjournals.org.

### Editor

**Prof. Johnson Lin** School of Biochemistry, Genetics, Microbiology and Plant Pathology University of KwaZulu-Natal (Westville) Private Bag X 54001, Durban Republic of South Africa

### **Associate Editors**

**Gregory Lloyd Blatch** Dept Biochemistry Microbilogy & Biotechnology Rhodes University Grahamstown 6140 South Africa

Dr. Serap Yalin Mersin University, Faculty of Pharmacy, Department of Biochemistry, Yenisehir Kampusu, Mezitli 33161 Mersin/Turkey

**Dr. Om Prakash Gupta** Directorate of Wheat Research (ICAR) Post Box-158, A grasain Marg, Karnal-132001, Haryana, India

### **Editorial Board**

### Dr. Desouky A.M. Abd-El-Haleem

Biological Sciences Department, College of Arts and Sciences, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar

### Dr. S.K. Trigun

Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Section, Banaras Hindu University Varanasi-221005, India

### Dr. Imed Gallouzi

McGill University, Biochemistry Department, 3655 Promenade Sir William OslerMontreal, Quebec, H3G 1Y6, Canada

**Dr. Ashraf A Khalil** Protein Technology Lab, Mubarak City for Science, New Borg Elarab, Alexandria, Egypt.

### Dr. Stanley Mukanganyama

Department of Biochemistry, University of Zimbabwe, Box MP 167, Mount Pleasant,Harare, Zimbabwe

### Prof. Salah A. Sheweita

Taibah University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Biochemistry, PO Box 30001, Madinah, Saudi Arabia

### Dr Oluwafemi O Oguntibeju

Department of Clinical Biochemistry, School of Medicine, Spartan Health Sciences University, P.O. Box 324, Vieux Fort, St Lucia, West Indies

**Dr. Robert L. Brown** USDA ARS, Southern Regional Research Center 1100 Robert E. Lee Blvd., New Orleans, LA 70124

#### **Dr. Edward Eteshola**

Biomedical Engineering Center Davis Heart and Lung Research Institute Ohio State University 473 W. 12th Avenue Columbus, OH 43210

#### G. Suresh Kumar

Senor Scientist and Head Biophysical Chemistry Laboratory Indian Institute of Chemical Biology Council of Scientific and Industrial Research Jadavpur, Kolkata 700 032, India

### Xu Lu

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Colorado State University Fort Collins, CO 80523-1870 USA

### Mohammed A.A Sarhan

Dept. Biological Sciences Faculty of Science King Khalid University Saudi Arabia

### **Mehrdad Behmanesh**

Department Of Genetics School Of Science P.O.Box 114-175 Tehran Iran Iran

### Hans Verhagen Po Box 1 3720 Ba Bilthoven The Netherlands Netherlands

**P.K. Sumodan** Post Graduate Department Of Zoology Government College Madappally India India

Baleseng Moseki University Of Botswana Botswana **Bhaskar C. Behera** Agharkar Research Institute Plant Science Division India India

Luiz Claudio Miletti Universidade Do Estado De Santa Catarina Brasil

**Oladipo Gabriel Sunday** University Of Port Harcourt Port Harcourt-Nigeria Nigeria

Basiouny Ahmed El-Gamal Biochemistry Department Faculty Of Science Alexandria University Egypt

Aminigo Ebiokpo Rebecca University Of Port Harcourt Portharcourt-Nigeria Nigeria

Jia Zeng Department Of Bioengineering Central South University Changsha Hunan 410083 P.R.China China

Adenike Kuku Obafemi Awolowo University Department Of Biochemistry Nigeria

Elsayed Hafez Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Research Institute Egypt

Gabriella Castoria Via L. De Crecchio 7 -80138 Naples Department Of General Pathology Italy

Salwa Seddik Abdel-Latif 21 Elbatal Ahmed Abdel Aziz Elmohandesien Giza Egypt **Erasto Vitus Mbugi** *Muhimbili University Biochemistry Department School Of Medicine India* 

Mohamed Rholam Université Paris7 - Denis-Diderot France

Hooi Ling Foo Universiti Putra Malaysia Malaysia

Jayanth Rao Biochemistry And Nutrition Cftri Mysore India

Maznah Ismail Universiti Putra Malaysia

Svetlana Lutsenko Oregon Health & Science University USA

**Gabriel Ugwem** *Rivers State University Of Science And Technology P.M.B. 5080 Port Harcourt Nigeria* 

Hari Chhatpar Dept. Of Microbiology & Biotechnology Centre Faculty Of Science M.S.University Of Baroda Vadodara 390 002 Baroda India

Mahiuddin Alamgir The University Of New South Wales Sydney Nsw-2052 Australia

Sheeja Samuel Edwin B.R Nahata College of Pharmacy & Research Centre India

William Cho Room 1305 13/F Block R Department of Clinical Oncology Queen Elizabeth Hospital 30 Gascoigne Road Kowloon Hong Kong Dr Suraini Abd-Aziz Universiti Putra Malaysia Malaysia

**Dr. Mustafa Numan Bucak** Lalahan Livestock Central Research Institute Lalahan Ankara Turkey

Alparslan Kadir Devrim Department Of Biochemistry Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Kafkas University 36040 Kars Turkey

Vasudev R. Thakkar Sardar Patel University Brd School of Biosciences Sardar Patel University Nagar

**Prof. Emmanuel Anosike** Department Of Biochemistry University Of Port Harcourt Nigeria

**Dr. Usama Beshay** New Bourg El-Arab City, Research Area Alexandria 21934 Eqypt

**Dr. Ramar Perumal Samy** Department of Anatomy Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine National University of Singapore Singapore

**Dr. Shin-ichi ONO** Laboratory of Clinical Pharmacy College of Pharmacy, Nihon University Japan

**Prof. Lawal Bilbis** Biochemistry Department Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto Nigeria

**Dr. Adriana G. Chicco** Department of Biochemistry University of Litoral, Santa Fe Argentina **Prof. Zia-Ur-Rahman** Department Of Physiology and Pharmacology University Of Agriculture Falsalabad Pakistan

Dr. Oluwole Ariyo Allen University USA

**Prof. Francisco Torrens** *Institut Universitari de Ciència Molecular Universitat de València Spain* 

**Prof. Belkhodja Moulay** University of Senia Oran Algeria

**Dr. Hossam M Ashour** Department of Microbiology and Immunology Faculty of Pharmacy, Cairo University Egypt

**Dr. Fidelis Ocloo** Biotechnology and Nuclear Agriculture Research Institute/GAEC Ghana

Ass. Prof. Alfonso Baldi Dept. Biochemistry, Sect. Pathology Second University of Naples, Italy

**Dr. Anandh Babu Pon Velayutham** Department of Human Nutrition Foods and Exercise 253 Wallace Hall Virginia Tech Blacksburg VA 24061 USA

**Dr. Tapan K. Chaudhuri** Department of Biochemical Engineering and Biotechnology Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, Hauz Khas New Delhi-110016, India.

**Dr. Rong Zhang** Shenyang Pharmaceutical University China **Ass. Prof. Tzong-Jih Cheng** Department of Bio-Industrial Mechatronics National Taiwan University Taiwan

**Dr. Zuyong Xia** Department of Radiology, 1201 Welch Rd, Room P089, Stanford, CA 94301 USA

**Dr. Pratap Kumar Das** Indian Institute of Chemical Biology India

Dr. Vasudeo Pandharinath Zambare Advanced Enzyme Technologies Ltd India

**Dr. A M Mujumdar** Agharkar Research Institute India

Prof. Christine Clayton ZMBH Im Neuenheimer Feld 282 69120 Heidelberg Germany

**Prof. Rekik Boulbaba** *ESA Mateur Département des sciences et techniques de productions animales Tanzania* 

**Dr. Farhad Mirzaei** National Dairy Research Institute, NDRI Karnal India

**Dr. ROUABHI Rachid** *Biology Department Tebessa University. Algeria* 

**Prof. Vaclav Vetvicka** *University of Louisville USA* 

#### Dr. Ramesh Putheti, Ph.D

Research scientist Actavis Pharmaceuticals 10065 red run blvd,owings mills Blvd,Maryland.USA.21030 USA

**Prof. Dr. Mustafa NAZIROGLU** Head of Department of Biophysics Medical (TIP) Faculty, Suleyman Demirel University Cunur, TR-32260 Isparta TURKEY

### Dr. José Luis Arias Mediano

Grupo Investigación Farmacia Práctica (CTS-205) Dept. Farmacia y Tecnología Farmacéutica Facultad de Farmacia Campus Universitario de Cartuja, s/n Universidad de Granada 18071 Granada.

Ahmed Malki, PhD Lecturer of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Biochemistry Department Fcaulty Of Science Alexandria University Alexandria, Egypt

**Dr. Alireza Seidavi (PhD)** Assistant Professor of Animal and Poultry Nutrition, Department of Animal Science, College of Agriculture, Islamic Azad University, Rasht Branch, Rasht, Iran

Amani S. Awaad Professor of pharmacognosy, Chemistry Department Faculty of Sciences, King Saud University . Riyadh. KSA. P.O. Box 22452, Riyadh 11495. Saudi Arabia

**Dr. Abdel-Tawab Mossa** Environmental Toxicology Research Unit (ETRU), Pesticide Chemistry Department, National Research Centre, Dokki, Egypt

### Dr. Amal A. Mohamed

Plant Biochemistry Department, Agriculture Division - National Research Center, 31-El-Tahrir St., Dokki, Cairo – Egypt

**Dr. Anabella Gaspar** Department of Biochemistry, University of Pretoria, South Africa

**Dr. Anna Janecka** Department of Biomolecular Chemistry, Medical University of Lodz, Mazowiecka 6/8, 92-215 Lodz, Poland

**Dr. Caser Abdel** Horticulture Department, Dohuk University, Iraq

**Dr. David Sheehan** Dept Biochemistry, University College Cork, Ireland

### Dr. Dayananda Chandrappa

Center for Bioenergy, Department of Life and Physical Sciences, Cooperative Research, Lincoln University, Jefferson City, USA

**Dr. Elsayed Abdelaal** Special Graduate Faculty, University of Guelph, Onatrio, Canada

**Dr. Etienne Marbaix** *CELL Unit, de Duve Institute, UCL-75.41, 75 avenue Hippocrate, B-1200 Bruxelles, Belgium* 

### **Dr. Gary L. Firestone**

Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 94720, USA

**Dr. Henryk Zielinski** Institute of Animal Reproduction and Food Research, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland

**Dr. Irshad A. Nawchoo** Department of Botany, University of Kashmir, India

**Dr. Luchai Butkhup** Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Technology, Mahasarakham University, Mahasarakham 44000, Thailand

**Dr. Luminita Vladescu** Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Bucharest, Romania

**Dr. Mira Debnath** School of Biochemical Engineering, Institute of Technology - Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India

**Dr. Nilesh S. Panchal** Department of Biosciences, Saurashtra University, Rajkot-360005, Gujarat. India

**Dr. Rayappa A. Balikai** University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka- 580 005, India

### Dr. Saad Tayyab

Institute of Biological Sciences, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

### Dr. Shijun Fu

Institute of Health Sciences, Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences and Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, P. R. China

### Dr. Shiming Zhang

Weis Center for Research, Geisinger Clinic, Danville, Pennsylvania, USA

### **Dr. Thomas Efferth**

Department of Pharmaceutical Biology, Institute of Pharmacy and Biochemistry, University of Mainz, Heidelberg, 55128 Mainz, Germany

### Instructions for Author

**Electronic submission** of manuscripts is strongly encouraged, provided that the text, tables, and figures are included in a single Microsoft Word file (preferably in Arial font).

The **cover letter** should include the corresponding author's full address and telephone/fax numbers and should be in an e-mail message sent to the Editor, with the file, whose name should begin with the first author's surname, as an attachment.

#### Article Types

Three types of manuscripts may be submitted:

**Regular articles:** These should describe new and carefully confirmed findings, and experimental procedures should be given in sufficient detail for others to verify the work. The length of a full paper should be the minimum required to describe and interpret the work clearly.

**Short Communications:** A Short Communication is suitable for recording the results of complete small investigations or giving details of new models or hypotheses, innovative methods, techniques or apparatus. The style of main sections need not conform to that of full-length papers. Short communications are 2 to 4 printed pages (about 6 to 12 manuscript pages) in length.

**Reviews:** Submissions of reviews and perspectives covering topics of current interest are welcome and encouraged. Reviews should be concise and no longer than 4-6 printed pages (about 12 to 18 manuscript pages). Reviews are also peer-reviewed.

#### **Review Process**

All manuscripts are reviewed by an editor and members of the Editorial Board or qualified outside reviewers. Authors cannot nominate reviewers. Only reviewers randomly selected from our database with specialization in the subject area will be contacted to evaluate the manuscripts. The process will be blind review.

Decisions will be made as rapidly as possible, and the journal strives to return reviewers' comments to authors as fast as possible. The editorial board will re-review manuscripts that are accepted pending revision. It is the goal of the AJFS to publish manuscripts within weeks after submission.

#### **Regular articles**

All portions of the manuscript must be typed doublespaced and all pages numbered starting from the title page.

The Title should be a brief phrase describing the contents of the paper. The Title Page should include the authors' full names and affiliations, the name of the corresponding author along with phone, fax and E-mail information. Present addresses of authors should appear as a footnote.

The Abstract should be informative and completely selfexplanatory, briefly present the topic, state the scope of the experiments, indicate significant data, and point out major findings and conclusions. The Abstract should be 100 to 200 words in length.. Complete sentences, active verbs, and the third person should be used, and the abstract should be written in the past tense. Standard nomenclature should be used and abbreviations should be avoided. No literature should be cited.

Following the abstract, about 3 to 10 key words that will provide indexing references should be listed.

A list of non-standard **Abbreviations** should be added. In general, non-standard abbreviations should be used only when the full term is very long and used often. Each abbreviation should be spelled out and introduced in parentheses the first time it is used in the text. Only recommended SI units should be used. Authors should use the solidus presentation (mg/ml). Standard abbreviations (such as ATP and DNA) need not be defined.

**The Introduction** should provide a clear statement of the problem, the relevant literature on the subject, and the proposed approach or solution. It should be understandable to colleagues from a broad range of scientific disciplines.

**Materials and methods** should be complete enough to allow experiments to be reproduced. However, only truly new procedures should be described in detail; previously published procedures should be cited, and important modifications of published procedures should be mentioned briefly. Capitalize trade names and include the manufacturer's name and address. Subheadings should be used. Methods in general use need not be described in detail. **Results** should be presented with clarity and precision. The results should be written in the past tense when describing findings in the authors' experiments. Previously published findings should be written in the present tense. Results should be explained, but largely without referring to the literature. Discussion, speculation and detailed interpretation of data should not be included in the Results but should be put into the Discussion section.

The Discussion should interpret the findings in view of the results obtained in this and in past studies on this topic. State the conclusions in a few sentences at the end of the paper. The Results and Discussion sections can include subheadings, and when appropriate, both sections can be combined.

**The Acknowledgments** of people, grants, funds, etc should be brief.

**Tables** should be kept to a minimum and be designed to be as simple as possible. Tables are to be typed doublespaced throughout, including headings and footnotes. Each table should be on a separate page, numbered consecutively in Arabic numerals and supplied with a heading and a legend. Tables should be self-explanatory without reference to the text. The details of the methods used in the experiments should preferably be described in the legend instead of in the text. The same data should not be presented in both table and graph form or repeated in the text.

**Figure legends** should be typed in numerical order on a separate sheet. Graphics should be prepared using applications capable of generating high resolution GIF, TIFF, JPEG or Powerpoint before pasting in the Microsoft Word manuscript file. Tables should be prepared in Microsoft Word. Use Arabic numerals to designate figures and upper case letters for their parts (Figure 1). Begin each legend with a title and include sufficient description so that the figure is understandable without reading the text of the manuscript. Information given in legends should not be repeated in the text.

**References:** In the text, a reference identified by means of an author's name should be followed by the date of the reference in parentheses. When there are more than two authors, only the first author's name should be mentioned, followed by 'et al'. In the event that an author cited has had two or more works published during the same year, the reference, both in the text and in the reference list, should be identified by a lower case letter like 'a' and 'b' after the date to distinguish the works.

Examples:

Abayomi (2000), Agindotan et al. (2003), (Kelebeni, 1983), (Usman and Smith, 1992), (Chege, 1998;

1987a,b; Tijani, 1993,1995), (Kumasi et al., 2001) References should be listed at the end of the paper in alphabetical order. Articles in preparation or articles submitted for publication, unpublished observations, personal communications, etc. should not be included in the reference list but should only be mentioned in the article text (e.g., A. Kingori, University of Nairobi, Kenya, personal communication). Journal names are abbreviated according to Chemical Abstracts. Authors are fully responsible for the accuracy of the references.

Examples:

Chikere CB, Omoni VT and Chikere BO (2008). Distribution of potential nosocomial pathogens in a hospital environment. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 7: 3535-3539.

Moran GJ, Amii RN, Abrahamian FM, Talan DA (2005). Methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus in community-acquired skin infections. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 11: 928-930.

Pitout JDD, Church DL, Gregson DB, Chow BL, McCracken M, Mulvey M, Laupland KB (2007). Molecular epidemiology of CTXM-producing Escherichia coli in the Calgary Health Region: emergence of CTX-M-15-producing isolates. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 51: 1281-1286.

Pelczar JR, Harley JP, Klein DA (1993). Microbiology: Concepts and Applications. McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, pp. 591-603.

#### **Short Communications**

Short Communications are limited to a maximum of two figures and one table. They should present a complete study that is more limited in scope than is found in full-length papers. The items of manuscript preparation listed above apply to Short Communications with the following differences: (1) Abstracts are limited to 100 words; (2) instead of a separate Materials and Methods section, experimental procedures may be incorporated into Figure Legends and Table footnotes; (3) Results and Discussion should be combined into a single section.

Proofs and Reprints: Electronic proofs will be sent (email attachment) to the corresponding author as a PDF file. Page proofs are considered to be the final version of the manuscript. With the exception of typographical or minor clerical errors, no changes will be made in the manuscript at the proof stage. **Fees and Charges**: Authors are required to pay a \$550 handling fee. Publication of an article in the African Journal of Biochemistry Research is not contingent upon the author's ability to pay the charges. Neither is acceptance to pay the handling fee a guarantee that the paper will be accepted for publication. Authors may still request (in advance) that the editorial office waive some of the handling fee under special circumstances

#### Copyright: © 2014, Academic Journals.

All rights Reserved. In accessing this journal, you agree that you will access the contents for your own personal use but not for any commercial use. Any use and or copies of this Journal in whole or in part must include the customary bibliographic citation, including author attribution, date and article title.

Submission of a manuscript implies: that the work described has not been published before (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture, or thesis) that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere; that if and when the manuscript is accepted for publication, the authors agree to automatic transfer of the copyright to the publisher.

### **Disclaimer of Warranties**

In no event shall Academic Journals be liable for any special, incidental, indirect, or consequential damages of any kind arising out of or in connection with the use of the articles or other material derived from the AJBR, whether or not advised of the possibility of damage, and on any theory of liability.

This publication is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. Descriptions of, or references to, products or publications does not imply endorsement of that product or publication. While every effort is made by Academic Journals to see that no inaccurate or misleading data, opinion or statements appear in this publication, they wish to make it clear that the data and opinions appearing in the articles and advertisements herein are the responsibility of the contributor or advertiser concerned. Academic Journals makes no warranty of any kind, either express or implied, regarding the quality, accuracy, availability, or validity of the data or information in this publication or of any other publication to which it may be linked.

### **African Journal of Biochemistry Research**

### Table of Contents: Volume 6 Number 2, January 2012

### **ARTICLES**

### **Review:**

The significance of artemisinin in roll back malaria partnership programmes and cancer therapy Obimba, Kelechukwu Clarence

### **Research Articles:**

Maternal oxidative stress and enzymatic antioxidant status in premature rupture of membranes Jafar Maleki, Ladan Haghighi, Mohsen Korani, Soudabeh Fallah, Mohsen Firozrai and Morteza Seifi African Journal of Biochemistry Research Vol. 6(2), pp. 20-26, 30 January, 2012 Available online at http://www.academicjournals.org/AJBR ISSN 1996-0778 ©2012 Academic Journals DOI: 10.5897/AJBR11.109

Review

# The significance of artemisinin in roll back malaria partnership programmes and cancer therapy

### Obimba, Kelechukwu Clarence

Department of Biochemistry, College of Natural and Applied Sciences, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture Umudike. Abia State, Nigeria. E-mail: kccob@yahoo.com. Tel: 07034851899.

Accepted 13 December, 2011

The role of the natural product, artemisinin, is discussed, with a view to elucidating its importance as an antimalarial, essential to sustainable global development, especially in the health and welfare industry. An estimated 243 million cases of malaria led to an estimated 863,000 deaths in 2008. The Roll Back Malaria (RBM) Partnership is a global network for co-ordinated action against malaria, launched in 1998 by the World Health Organization (WHO), United Nations International Children Emergency Fund (UNICEF), United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), and World Bank. It promotes consensus among key actors in malaria control, harmonizes action, and mobilizes resources to fight malaria in endemic countries. Large scale use of antimalarial monotherapies such as quinoline compounds (for example, chloroquine) and antifolate drugs (for example, sulfonamides), have culminated in crossresistance, especially of Plasmodium falciparum, to these conventional antimalarial drugs. Artemisinin, (a sesquiterpene lactone), isolated from the plant Artemisia annua, is a drug used to treat multi-drug resistant strains of falciparum malaria. Saccharomyces cerevisiae microbes can produce the precursor artemisinic acid, by a technique of synthetic biology. The total synthesis of artemisinin can also be performed using the organic reagents, isopulegol. The iron-porphyrin complex-moiety, produced during plasmodium infection of the red blood cells, reacts with artemisinin, a potent inhibitor of hemozoin formation, to produce reactive oxygen radicals which damage the parasite leading to its death. Artemisinin acts on the electron transport chain, by causing the depolarization of the parasite's mitochondrial membrane, and kills the asexual forms of plasmodium at the erythrocytic stage. Artemisinin selectively inhibits the production of estrogen receptor-alpha gene and thus, arrests the growth of estrogen responsive breast cancer cells. Artemesinin is not used for malaria prophylaxis because of it's extremely short activity. Artemisinin is fast-acting and poorly bioavailable. The use of semi-synthetic derivatives and analogues of artemisinin, such as artesunate, and artemether, in the production of Artemisinin-based combination therapies (ACTs), for example, lumefantrine-artesunate, increase the therapeutic efficiency of artemisinin to more than 90%, and prevents recrudescence.

Key words: Artemisinin, monotherapies, plasmodium, artemisinin-based combination therapies.

### INTRODUCTION

Recent estimates of the global malaria burden have shown increasing levels of malaria morbidity and mortality, reflecting the deterioration of the malaria situation in Africa during the 1990s. Half of the world's population is at risk of malaria. An estimated 243 million cases led to an estimated 863,000 deaths in 2008. Administration of fake malarial drugs has been implicated as a major causative factor of proliferation of drugresistant malarial parasites leading to large scale deaths, due to malarial infections (Basco, 2004). About 90% of malaria deaths occur in Africa south of the Sahara, and the great majority of them, are children under five (Acton and Roth, 1992). The RBM partnership is a global network for co-ordinated action against malaria, launched in 1998 by WHO, UNICEF, UNDP, and World Bank. It promotes consensus among key actors in malaria



Figure 1. Biosynthesis of farnesyl pyrophosphate (Wikipedia, 2011).

control, harmonizes action, and mobilizes resources to fight malaria in endemic countries. The use of longlasting insecticidal nets; enlightenment, encouraging indoor spraying of insecticides coupled with artemisininbased combination therapies has engendered large-scale global malaria control. Antimalarial drugs were deployed on a large scale, always as monotherapies, introduced in sequence, and were generally poorly managed, in that, their use was continued despite unacceptably high levels of resistance.

In addition, there has been over-reliance on both quinoline compounds (that is, quinine, chloroquine, amodiaquine, mefloquine and primaquine) and antifolate drugs (that is, sulfonamides, pyrimethamine, proguanil and chlorproguanil), with consequent encouragement of cross-resistance among these compounds (Mutabingwa et al., 2005). This abuse of antimalarial drugs during the past century, resulting in the widespread resistance of Plasmodium falciparum to conventional antimalarial drugs, such as chloroquine, sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (SP) and amodiaguine, has contributed to the increasing malaria mortality and morbidity (Rowen, 2009). Artemisinin, (a sesquiterpene lactone), is derived from a herb, Artemisia annua, and is used as a drug to treat multi-drug resistant strains of falciparum malaria (Parker et al., 1999). Artemisinin is used in Chinese traditional medicine, though it is usually chemically modified and combined with other medications (Acton and Roth, 1992). Physical and chemical data on artemisinin include: (IUPAC) Systematic name: (3R,5aS,6R,8aS,9R,12S,12aR)-octahydro-3,6,9trimethyl-3,12-epoxy-12H-pyrano[4,3-j]-1,2-

benzodioxepin-10(3H)-one; Formula: C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>5</sub>; Mol.

mass: 282.332 g/mol.; Density:  $1.24 \pm 0.1$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>; Melt. Point: 152 to 157°C (306 to 315°F); Routes of administration: Oral, rectal, intramuscular, or intravenous uses (Acton and Roth, 1992).

### BIOSYNTHESIS OF ARTEMISININ

Saccharomyces cerevisiae microbes can produce the precursor artemisinic acid, by a technique of synthetic biology, via the mevalonate pathway, a pathway for the production of terpenes and terpene products such as cholesterol and plant sterols. The metabolism of the microbe is engineered to produce artemisinic acid, a precursor to artemisinin. Starting from acetyl-CoA (an abundant product of the central metabolism of many microbes), the microbes produce, in turn, mevalonate, farnesyl pyrophosphate (FPP) as shown in Figure 1. Amorphadiene, produced by the enzymatic catalysis of amorphadiene synthase on farnesyl pyrophosphate. A novel cytochrome P450 monooxygenase (hydroxylase) oxidizes artemisinic alcohol to artemisinic acid (Sarpong and Keasling, 2006). The artemisinic acid is released from the microbes, purified from the culture media, and chemically converted to artemisinin. Dihydroartemisinic acid, the final precursor to artemisinin, undergoes photoxidation to produce dihydroartemisinic acid hydroperoxide. Ring expansion by the cleavage of hydroperoxide second oxygen-mediated and а hydroperoxidation furnish the biosynthesis of artemisinin. A three-step oxidation of amorpha-4, 11-diene gives the resulting artemisinic acid (Martin et al., 2001). Once the artemisinin is produced, it must be further chemically



**Figure 2.** Technique of synthetic biology employed in the microbial synthesis of Artemisinin (Covello et al., 2007).

converted into a derivative such as artesunate or artemether, which are integrated into Artemisinin-based Combination Therapies (ACTs) for the treatment of malaria. The scheme for the microbial synthesis of artemisinin is shown in Figure 2. Farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase (aprenyl transferase) catalyzes sequential condensation reactions of dimethylallyl pyrophosphate with 2 units of 3isopentenyl pyrophosphate to form farnesyl pyrophosphate. The total chemical synthesis of Artemisinin can also be performed using basic organic reagents. The (Isopulegol) starting material is converted to methoxymethyl ether. The ether is hydroborated and oxidized to yield a hydroxylated compound. The primary hydroxyl group is benzylated and the methoxymethyl ether is cleaved, protonated and treated with (E)-(3-iodo-1-methyl-1-propenyl)-trimethylsilane to yield a ketone compound. The resulting ketone is reacted with lithium methoxy(trimethylsily)methylide to obtain two diastereomeric alcohols, one (the I-stereoisomer) which is debenzylated using (Li,  $NH_3$ ) to yield a lactone. The vinylsilane is oxidized to a ketone which is reacted with fluoride ion that causes it to undergo desilylation, enol ether formation, and carboxylic acid formation. A hydroperoxide function is introduced at C(3) of the resulting compound, photooxygenated and then treated with acid to produce artemisinin (Schmid and Hofheinz, 1983).

### PHARMACOKINETICS AND PHARMACODYNAMICS OF ARTEMISININ

Artemisinin and artemisinin derivatives function to destroy plasmodium parasites by alkylation of host heme by carbon-centered free radicals, interference with proteins such as the sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic calcium ATPase (SERCA), as well as damaging of normal mitochondrial functions (Li and Zhou, 2010). The plasmodium parasite consumes hemoglobin and liberates free heme, an ironporphyrin complex- moiety, during plasmodium infection of the red blood cells. The complex, produced, reacts with artemisinin to produce reactive oxygen radicals which damage the parasite leading to its death. Artemisinin is a potent inhibitor of hemozoin formation activity of malaria parasite. Artemisinin acts on the electron transport chain, generates local reactive oxygen species, and causes the depolarization of the parasite's mitochondrial membrane. Artemisinin kills the asexual forms of plasmodium at the erythrocytic stage (Miller et al., 2002). Artemisinins have also been shown to inhibit PfATP6, a SERCA-type enzyme (calcium transporter) (Jambou et al., 2005), and thus affects adversely, the calcium metabolism of malarial parasites.

### **USE OF ARTEMISININ IN CANCER THERAPY**

The antimalarial artesunate exerts profound cytotoxicity toward tumor cells. The cytostatic and apoptotic effects of artesunate are not diminished by concomitant immunosuppression (Ramacher et al., 2009). Treatment of human breast cancer cells with artemisinin, disrupts estrogen responsiveness and stops cell growth. Artemisinin acts in breast cancer cells by inhibiting the production of the estrogen receptor-alpha (ER $\alpha$ ) gene without altering the level of the related estrogen receptorbeta gene (ER $\beta$ ). Artemisinin-regulated cellular pathways selectively inhibits the production of ER $\alpha$  and arrests the growth of estrogen responsive breast cancer cells by altering the function of nuclear cellular proteins (transcription factors) that are used by breast cancer cells to enhance the synthesis of the ER $\alpha$  gene (Firestone, 2006). Artemisinin selectively kills cancer cells which have more intracellular free iron than do normal cells. Combined HBO<sub>2</sub> and artemisinin exposure may be an effective anticancer chemotherapeutic strategy (Ohgami et al., 2010).

The pleiotropic response elicited in cancer cells by artemisinin and artemisinin derivatives include growth inhibition by cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, inhibition of angiogenesis, disruption of cell migration, and modulation of nuclear receptor responsiveness (Firestone and Sundar, 2009). The anti-cancer potential of artemisinin and artemisinin derivatives has been demonstrated in various cancer cells including those of leukemia and other cancer cells of breast, ovary, liver, lung, pancreas and colon (Lu et al., 2008). The anti-cancer mechanism of artemisinin and artemisinin derivatives is likely to be related to the cleavage of the iron- or heme-mediated peroxide bridge, followed by the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Efferth et al., 2003). Gao et al. (2011) showed that dihydroartemisinin (DHA)-induced apoptosis in human leukemia cells in vitro and exhibited an anti-leukemic activity in vivo through a process that involves of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MEK)/ extracellular signal-regulated protein kdve4vcinase (ERK) inactivation. Induced myeloid leukemia cell differentiation down-regulation, protein (Mcl-1) culminating in cytochrome c release and caspase activation.

### **Dosing and contra-indications**

The WHO approved adult dose of co-artemether (artemether-lumefantrine) for malaria is 4 tablets at 0, 8, 24, 36, 48 and 60 h (six doses). This has been proven to be superior to regimens based on amodiaguine Vugt et al. (1999); Lefevre et al. (2001), Sutherland et al. (2005) and Jansen (2006). Artemesinin are not used for malaria prophylaxis (prevention) because of the extremely short activity of the drug. The adverse side effects from Artemsinin are similar to the symptoms of malaria: nausea, vomiting, anorexia, and dizziness. The combination drugs may have additional side effects. The drug should not be prescribed for pregnant women less than 3 months, except in the event of cerebral or pernicious malaria. Use of artemisinin by itself as a monotherapy is explicitly discouraged by the WHO as there have been signs that malarial parasites are developing resistance to the drug (WHO, 2001a, b, 2008) (Table 1).

Table	1. Adoption	of artemisinin	combination <sup>4</sup>	therapies	(ACTs) by	countries	of the world	(Bosman	and M	Mendis,
2007).										

Devementer	Number of countries			
Farameter	Africa	Rest of the world		
Changed treatment policy to ACT <sup>a</sup>	14 <sup>b</sup>	14 <sup>c</sup>		
Changed treatment policy to CT	3	1		
In process of treatment policy review	11	5		
Studying efficacy of ACT options	4	1		

<sup>a</sup>Adoption does not immediately translate into implementation: In Africa only 5 out of the 14, and outside Africa 10 out of 14 countries which have adopted ACTs are deploying these drugs in the public sector. <sup>b</sup>Burundi, Cameroon, Comoros, Cote d'Ivoire, Eq. Guinea, Gabon, Ghana, Kenya, Mozambique, Sao Tome and Principe, Senegal, South Africa, Zambia, Zanzibar; <sup>c</sup>Bhutan, Bolivia, Cambodia, Ecuador, Guyana, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Myanmar, Papua New Guinea, Peru, Philippines, Surinam, Thailand, Vietnam (WHO, 2008).

#### Table 2. How access to ACTs is being ensured.

Purpose	Effort
Quality assurance, prequalification and sourcing project	Establishment by WHO in collaboration with other United Nations agencies, of an international mechanism to pre-qualify manufacturers of artemisinin compounds and ACTs [for example, the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria (GFATM)], on the basis of compliance with internationally recommended standards of manufacturing and quality
WHO-UNICEF call for tenders of ACTS	WHO and UNICEF have called for tenders of co-blistered combinations of the following products for which there are not yet pre-qualified manufacturers: (i) artesunate plus amodiaquine; (ii) artesunate plus sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine; iii) artesunate plus mefloquine; and iv) amodiaquine plus sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine
Negotiated prices and centralized procurement artemether/lumefantrine (Coartem®)	WHO and Novartis, the manufacturer of artemether-lumefantrine (Coartem®), have entered into a special pricing agreement: Novartis provides the drug at cost price (US\$ 0.9 and 2.4 per child and adult treatment course, respectively)
Financing of ACTs Global fund expenditure on ACTs	GFATM, established in 2002, is now the largest funder of ACTs in countries, and have requested that countries apply for the most effective treatments to roll back malaria. The artemisinin Project is a program by Sanofi-Aventis, Amyris Biotechnologies, the Institute for OneWorld Health, and Jay Keasling, a researcher from the University of California, to combat malaria by producing artemisinin at low cost (Hamm, 2009)
Propagation of the plant Artemisia annua	Plans to have the plant <i>Artemisia annua</i> grow in other areas of the world outside Vietnam and China (Kenya, Tanzania and Madagascar) (Ro et al., 2006)

World Bank (2003) and WHO (2008).

### Artemisinin- based combination therapies (ACTs)

Artemisinin itself has physical properties such as poor bioavailability that limit its effectiveness. Semi- synthetic synthetic derivatives and analogues of artemisinin, such as Artesunate, Artemether, Artelinic acid, Artenimol, and Artemotil, with more efficient bio-availabity have been developed. Artemisinin and its derivatives are fast-acting, but other drugs are often required to clear the body of all parasites and prevent recrudescence. For this reason, artemisinin is administered together with other antimalarial drugs, unrelated to the artemisinin family, in what is known as ACTs, which are the preferred treatment for malaria and are both effective and well patients. The artemisinin derivative, tolerated in Artemether, is typically administered, in simultaneous combination with lumefantrine (also known as benflumetol) to treat uncomplicated falciparum malaria. Lumefantrine has a half-life of about 3 to 6 days and prevents the disease from returning. Other examples of ACTs are artesunate-mefloquine, and artesunateamodiaquine. ACTs are more than 90% efficient (Eline Korenromp et al., 2005; Bloland, 2001). Since 2001, 32 countries have adopted one of the aforementioned five combination therapies, several as first-line treatment and a few as second-line. A multi-artemisinin combination therapy is Artesunate-(Sulphadoxine-Pyrimethamine). The therapy is an initial administration of sulphadoxinepyrimethamine combination with а subsequent administration of artesunate, approximately, 24hours after the initial administration (Elamin et al., 2005). Many others are in the process of policy change. WHO has provided continuous technical cooperation to ministries of health on all aspects of national treatment policy change monitoring the therapeutic efficacy of medicines, and updating and implementing ACT-based treatment policies and (Jambou et al., 2005; Grupper, 2005; Olumese, 2006). Information on how to access ACTs is given in Table 2.

### CONCLUSION

Artemisinin, a sesquiterpene lactone, isolated from the plant *A. annua*, used as a drug, to treat multi-drug resistant strains of falciparum malaria, can be produced by a technique of synthetic microbiology, and also in the laboratory from basic organic reagents. In addition, artemisinin is curative of breast, ovary, liver, lung, pancreas and colon cancers. Artemisinin is a potent inhibitor of hemozoin formation activity of malaria parasite. Artemisinin have also been shown to inhibit the calcium transporter enzyme, thus posing toxicity to microorganisms. Drug efficacy of artemisinin is optimized in ACTs.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author acknowledges the technical support given by Dr. Seb. Obasi and the resource contribution of Engr. Abraham Enyichukwu Obimba.

#### REFERENCES

Acton N, Roth RJ (1992). On the conversion of dihydroartemisinic acid

into artemisinin. J. Org. Chem., 57: 3610-3614. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jo00039a020

- Basco LK (2004). Molecular Epidemiology of Malaria in Cameroon. XIX. Quality of Antimalarial Drugs Used for Self-medication. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg., 70(3): 245-250.
- Bloland P (2001). Drug Resistance in Malaria. A Background Document for the WHO Global Strategy for Containment of Antimicrobial Resistance. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Bosman A, Mendis KN (2007). A major Transition in Malarial Treatment: The adoption and deployment of Artemisinin-based combination Therapies. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg., 77(6): 193-197.
- Covello PS, Tech KH, Polichuk DR, Reed DW, Nowak G (2007). Functional genomics and the biosynthesis of artemisinin. Phytochem., 6814 : 1864-1871. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2007.02.016
- Efferth T, Sauerbrey A, Olbrich A, Gebhart E, Rauch P, Weber HO, Hengstler JG, Halatsch ME, Volm M, Tew KD, Ross DD, Funk JO (2003). Molecular modes of action of artesunate in tumor cell lines. Mol. Pharmacol., 64: 382-394. http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/mol.64.2.382
- Elamin SB, Malik EM, Abdelgadir T, Khamiss AH, Mohammed MM, Ahmed ES, Adam I (2005). Artesunate plus sulfadoxinepyrimethamine for treatment of uncomplicated Plasmodium falciparum malaria in Sudan. Malaria J., 4: 41. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-4-41
- Eline KJM, Nahlen B, Wardlaw T, Young M (2005). World Malaria Report: World Health Organization (WHO), Roll Back Malaria (RBM) and United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Firestone G (2006). Artemisinin Disrupts Estrogen Receptor-Alpha and Cell Growth.Carlifornia Breast cancer Research Program.
- Firestone GL, Sundar SN (2009). Anticancer activities of artemisinin and its bioactive derivatives. Expert. Rev. Mol. Med., 11:e32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1462399409001239
- Gao N, Budhraja A, Cheng S, Liu EH, Huang C, Chen J, Yang Z, Chen D, Zhang Z, Shi X (2011). Interruption of the MEK/ERK signaling cascade promotes dihydroartemisinin-induced apoptosis in vitro and in vivo. Apoptosis. 16(5): 511-523. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10495-011-0580-6
- Grupper M (2005). Meeting on the Production of Artemisinin and ACTs. Arusha, Tanzania: DFID Health Resource Centre, Roll Back Malaria, World Health Organization.
- Hamm S (2009). Črative Capitalism' versus Malaria. Business Week. 083.
- Jambou R, Legrand E, Niang M, Khim N, Lim P, Volney B, Ekala MT, Bouchier C, Esterre P, Fandeur T, Mercereau-Puijalon O (2005). Resistance of Plasmodium falciparum field isolates to in-vitro artemether and point mutations of the SERCA-type PfATPase6. Lancet, 366: 1960-1963. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67787-2
- Jansen FH (2006). The herbal tea approach for artemesinin as a therapy for malaria? Trans R Soc Trop. Med. Hyg., 100(3): 285-286. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trstmh.2005.08.004
- Lefevre G, Looareesuwan S, Treeprasertsuk S (2001). A clinical and pharmacokinetic trial of six doses of artemether-lumefantrine for multidrug-resistant Plasmodium falciparum malaria in Thailand. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg., 64(5-6): 247-256.
- Li J, Zhou B (2010). Biological Actions of Artemisinin: Insights from Medicinal Chemistry Studies. Molecule, 15(3): 1378-1397. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/molecules15031378
- Lu JJ, Meng LH, Cai YJ, Chen Q, Tong LJ, Lin LP, Ding J (2008). Dihydroartemisinin induces apoptosis in HL-60 leukemia cells dependent of iron and p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase activation but independent of reactive oxygen species. Cancer Biol. Ther., 7: 1017-1023.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/cbt.7.7.6035
- Martin VJ, Yoshikuni Y Keasling JD (2001). The in vivo synthesis of plant sesquiterpenes by Escherichia coli. Biotech. Bioeng., 7: 497-503. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/bit.10037
- Miller LH, Baruch DI, Marsh K, Doumbo OK (2002). The pathogenic basis of malaria. Nature 415: 673-679.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/415673a

- Mutabingwa TK, Anthony D, Heller A (2005). Amodiaquine alone, amodiaquine+sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine, amodiaquine+artesunate, and artemether-lumefantrine for outpatient treatment of malaria in Tanzanian children: a four-arm randomised effectiveness trial. Lancet 365(9469): 1474-1480. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)66417-3
- Ohgami Y, Elstad CA, Chung E, Shirachi DY, Quock RM, Lai HC (2010). Effect of Hyperbaric Oxygen on the Anticancer Effect of Artemisinin on Molt-4 Human Leukemia Cells. Intl. J. Cancer Res. Treatment. 30(11): 4467-4470.
- Olumese P (2006). Guidelines for the Treatment of Malaria. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Parker MH, Northrop J, Elias J, Ploypradith S, Xie P, Shapiro S, Theresa A (1999). Orally Active, Hydrolytically Stable, Semisynthetic, Antimalarial Trioxanes in the Artemisinin Family. J. Med. Chem., 42 (2): 300-304. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm980529v
- Ramacher M, Umansky V, Efferth T (2009). Effect of artesunate on immune cells in ret-transgenic mouse melanoma model. Anti-Cancer Drugs. 20(10): 910-917. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/CAD.0b013e328330caba
- Ro DK, Paradise EM, Ouellet M, Fisher KJ, Newman KL, Ndungu JM, Ho KA, Eachus RA, Ham TS, Kirby J, Chang MC, Withers ST, Shiba Y, Sarpong R, Keasling JD (2006). Production of the antimalarial drug precursor artemisinic acid in engineered yeast. Nature 440: 940-943. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature04640
- Rowen RJ (2009). Artemisinin: From Malaria to Cancer Treatment. Wikipedia encyclopaedia. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Artemisinin. (3-12-2011).
- Sarpong R, Keasling JD (2006). Production of the antimalarial drug precursor artemisinic acid in engineered yeast Nature. 440: 940-943. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature04640
- Schmid G, Hofheinz W. (1983). Total Synthesis of qinghaosu J. Am. Chem. Soc., 105(3): 624-625. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00341a054

- Sutherland CJ, Ord R, Dunyo S, Jawara M, Drakeley CJ, Alexander N, Coleman R, Pinder M, Walraven G, Targett GA (2005). Reduction of malaria transmission to Anopheles mosquitoes with a six-dose regimen of co-artemether. PLoS Med., 2: e92. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0020092
- Vugt MV, Wilairatana P, Gemperli B (1999). Efficacy of six doses of artemether-lumefantrine (benflumetol) in multidrug-resistant Plasmodium falciparum malaria. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg., 60(6): 936-942.
- Wikipedia (2011). Isopentenyl pyrophosphate. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Isopentenyl\_pyrophosphate. (3-12-2011).
- World Bank (2003). Report of the Expert Consultation on the Procurement & Financing of Antimalarial Drugs . Washington D.C.
- World Health Organization. (2001b). Antimalarial drug combination therapy: Report of WHO technical consultation . Geneva, World Health Organization (WHO/CDS/RBM/2001.35).
- World Health Organization. (2003). Procurement, quality and sourcing project: access to artemisinin-based combination antimalarial drugs of acceptable quality. Geneva, World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization (2008). WHO ultimatum on artemisinin monotherapy is showing results. http://www.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/332/7551/1176-b. (3-12-2011).

Full Length Research Paper

# Maternal oxidative stress and enzymatic antioxidant status in premature rupture of membranes

## Jafar Maleki<sup>1</sup>, Ladan Haghighi<sup>2</sup>, Mohsen Korani<sup>1</sup>, Soudabeh Fallah<sup>1</sup>\*, Mohsen Firozrai<sup>1</sup> and Morteza Seifi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Hemmat High Way, Tehran, Iran. <sup>2</sup>Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Shahid Akbarabadi Hospital, Tehran, Iran.

Accepted 17 January, 2012

Premature rupture of the fetal membrane is a major cause of preterm birth and it is associated with infant morbidity. To examine the relationship between maternal oxidative stress (OS) and antioxidant statue and premature rupture of membranes (PROM). 30 pregnant women with PROM and 30 normal prequant women were compared for maternal malonaldihyde concentration, erythrocyte glutathione (GSH) concentration, glutathione peroxidase (G-PX) and catalase (CAT) activities, using a cross sectional study and spectrophotometer method. Unpaired t test and Chi-square test were used for comparison of variables between controls and patient groups. A significant reduction was found in fthe GSH concentration of the PROM subjects when compared to the controls (6.40±0.43 µmol/g of Hb versus 7.28±0.40 µmol/g of Hb, P <0.001). The G-PX and CAT activities of the PROM population were significantly lower than controls (35±9.41 versus 44.17±11.92 U/g Hb, P=0.01 and 149.83±31.86 U/g of Hb versus 172.07±47.14U/g of Hb, P<0.01, respectively). The maximal rate of oxidation (Vmax) was shorter in the PROM group when compared to the control group (0.0068±0.00003 versus 0.008±0.00003, OD245 nm/min, P=0.01), whereas the maximal accumulation of absorbing products (ODmax) of the PROM subjects was higher than in the controls (0.73±0.013 versus 0.68±0.017 OD245 nm, P=0.01). The lag phase, reflecting resistance of serum lipids to oxidation, was different in the PROM group when compared to the control group (47.90±2.13 versus 53.53±2.54 min, P=0.01). The maternal malondialdehyde (MAD) percentage of the PROM group was higher than controls (75.62±3.73% versus 63.80±9.32%, P=0.001). Present study revealed that PROM is associated with decreased maternal erythrocyte antioxidant activities. As opposed to term labor, PROM is associated with increased maternal systemic OSwhen compared to normal pregnant women. The role for OSin preterm PROM warrants further studies.

Key words: Oxidative stress, antioxidant, premature, rubture, membrane.

### INTRODUCTION

Premature rupture of the fetal membrane (PROM) is a major cause of preterm birth, accounting for 30 to 40% of all preterm births (Nourse and Steer, 1997) and its

association with infant morbidity and mortality (Nourse and Steer, 1997; Woods, 2001). PROM results initially from damage to collagen in the chorioamnion leading to a tear in the membrane (Nourse and Steer, 1997). Multiple epidemiological and clinical factors are considered to be promoters of PROM (Ramkumar and Stephen, 2007). Numerous risk factors are associated with PROM. There appears to be no single etiology of preterm PROM

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: s-fallah@tums.ac.ir or soudabeh.fallah@yahoo.com. Tel:/Fax: +982188058742.

(Tanya et al., 2006). It has been shown that issuedamaging molecules called reactive oxygen species (ROS) are capable of damaging collagen in the chorioamnion that could lead to PPROM. This hypothesis was supported by epidemiological studies linking clinical conditions known to produce ROS or reduce antioxidant protection to Preterm premature rupture of membranes (PPROM), by in vitro studies in which membrane segments exposed to ROS exhibited tissue alterations consistent with PPROM, and by clinical studies showing that chorioamnion and amniotic fluid samples obtained from PPROM patients exhibit excessive collagen degradation (Nourse and Steer, 1997). It has been shown that (Ofer et al., 2007) oxygen free radicals, which are by products of inflammatory cells, increase the activity of matrix metalloproteinases in human fetal membranes. They suggested that oxygen free radicals, which are by products of inflammatory cells, increase the activity of matrix metalloproteinases in human fetal membranes.

This may cause degradation of the extracellular matrix, leading to rupture of the amniotic membranes. PROM is associated with elevated activity metalloproteinase in the amniotic fluid and amniochorionic membranes to which OSmay contribute (Nourse and Steer, 1997). It has also been shown (Fainaru et al., 2002) that active labor is associated with oxidative stress. However it is not known whether OStriggers labor or is an epiphenomenon and a byproduct of the labor process. The damage which is inflicted to tissues by reactive oxygen species can be widespread. ROS are capable of initiating lipid peroxidation, increasing intracellular free Ca<sup>2+</sup>, damaging deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), releasing destructive catalytic enzymes and damaging cell membranes (Nourse and Steer, 1997). Clinical conditions, in addition to infection, which frequently are linked to PROM fit with an ROS-induced mechanism of tissue damage. (Nourse and Steer, 1997). Lipid peroxidation is normal phenomenon that occurs continuously at low level in all animals. These peroxidation reactions are in part toxic to cells and cell membranes; however they are normally controlled by countervailing biological mechanisms (Akihito et al., 2006). Antioxidant enzymes G-PX, CAT, superoxidase dismutase (SOD) and GSH appear to be the key antioxidants that provide cell protection against oxidant agents generated in different conditions.

The GPx and SOD enzymes acting as free radical scavengers limit the effects of oxidant molecules in tissues and oxidative injury. These enzymes work together to eliminate active oxygen species and small deviations in their physiological concentrations may have an adverse effect on the resistance of cellular lipids, proteins and DNA to oxidative damage (Fallah et al., 2011). The role of antioxidants to protect the

chorioamnion from ROS damage has been demonstrated in one *in-vitro* study. The result of a study conducted by Woods (2001) showed that a prospective, randomized blinded trial of antioxidant therapy during pregnancy is needed to evaluate this approach for the prevention of PPROM (Nourse and Steer, 1997). Normally a balance exists between production and elimination of ROS. OS occurs when prooxidants exceed antioxidants and the balance between antioxidant defenses is disturbed (Singh et al., 1998). SOD, G-Px, CAT and GSH constitute a team of antioxidant which provide defense against free radical mediated injury. The present study was conducted to assess the erythrocyte antioxidant enzymes G-Px, CAT activities and GSH concentration in PROM. In this study we tested "in vivo" the hypothesis that the antioxidant reduction and OS elevation associated with preterm PROM. In addition to measurement of the malonaldihyde levels as an OS index, we used an assay to determine serum lipid oxidizibility in pregnant women experiencing PROM and compared to pregnant women with intact membranes for investigation whether PROM is associated with OS.

### PATIENTS AND METHODS

The study group consisted of 30 pregnant women aged 30±2.1 between 24 to 34 gestational weeks with PROM and 30 women aged 30±3.01 with normal pregnancy and intact membranes who had been admitted to the delivery unit of Shahid Akbar Abadi hospital of Southern Tehran because of a threatening preterm delivery with intact fetal membranes. Women in both groups were non-smokers, and were not taking any medications aside from iron supplementation, they were in good general health with no history of prior adverse pregnancy outcomes including preterm labor and delivery or premature rupture of the membranes, and known date of last menstrual period; more than 16 weeks of gestation at the beginning of the study. Women in both groups were not experiencing uterine contractions and did not show clinical or laboratory signs of chorioamnionitis (that is, uterine tenderness, systemic fever, fetal tachycardia or elevated white blood cell count). The groups were matched for age and gestational age and were of similar height and weight (Not shown). Gestational age was determined by the best obstetric estimate based on a combination of the last menstrual period dating and the earliest available ultrasonographic examination.

Exclusion criteria were as follows: Chronic diseases, (hypertension, diabetes, renal or cardiac diseases), genital tract anomalies of the mother, genetic or anatomical defects of the fetus, previous preterm deliveries. PROM diagnosis was identified by estimation the value of insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-1 (IGFBP-1) in cervical secretion in women with symptoms of preterm delivery and to investigate correlation of this test to the Bishop score in prediction of the preterm delivery. The concentration of IGFBP-1 in cervical mucus was estimated by an Actim Partus test (Medix Biochemica, Finland) according manufacturer's instructions. The test was considered positive if the concentration of IGFBP-1 in cervical mucus was higher than 10 µg/L. All experimental procedures were approved by the Human

 Table 1. Antioxidant activities in women with PROM and women with normal pregnancy.

	Normal pregnancy	PROM	Р
CAT (κ/g of Hb)	172.07±47.14	149.83±31.86	< 0.001
G-Px (U/g of Hb)	44.17±11.92	35.93±9.41	< 0.005
GSH (µmol/g of Hb)	7.28±0.40	6.40±0.43	< 0.001

Values are means±sd.

Table 2. Kinetic parameters of copper induced peroxidation in women with PROM and women with normal pregnancy.

	Р	PROM (n=30)	Normal pregnancy (n=30)
Lag preceding oxidation (min)	0.01	47.90±2.13	53.53±2.54
t max (min)	0.01	90.67±3.48	97.80±3.13
V max (OD 245 /min)	0.01	0.0068±3×10-4	0.008±10-4
OD max (OD 245 nm)	0.01	0.73±0.013	0.68±0.017
OD initial (245 nm)	0.01	1.38±0.019	1.33±0.019

Values are mean±sd.

Ethics Committee of the Iran Health Ministry. Venous blood was drawn from each woman and serum was prepared, frozen immediately and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$  C. Blood drawn from women in the PROM group was obtained no longer than 6 h after the onset of membrane rupture. The Hemoglobine (Hb) concentration was determined in 10-fold diluted hemolysate (by the cyanmethemoglubine) with Drabkin's reagent (200 mg FeCN<sub>6</sub>k<sub>3</sub> + 50 mg CNk /Lit).

The erythrocyte antioxidant enzymes G-PX and CAT activities were assayed as described by Pagila and Valentin (1967) and Aebi (1984), respectively. The enzyme activities were reported as unit per gram of Hb. For G-PX activity, the decrease in nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate-oxidase (NADPH) absorbance was followed at 340 nm using a spectrophotometer (Cecil CE 9050 Modle, Germany). CAT activity was determined at 25°C by decrease in absorbance of HO at 240 nm Aebi (1984). The ervthrocvte GSH concentration was measured spectrophotometrically by the method of Buteler et al. (1963). GSH concentration was measured in 5- sulphosalycilic aciddeproteinized samples. The rate of 2-nitro-5-thiobenzoic acid formation, which is proportional to the GSH present, is followed at 412 nm. Samples were assayed rapidly to minimize GSH oxidation (Beutler et al., 1963).

For OS assay, kinetics of copper-induced oxidation of serum lipids *ex vivo* for evaluating of oxidizibility of blood lipids (Ofer et al., 2007). The kinetic profiles were analyzed in terms of the lag preceding oxidation reflecting the resistance of serum lipids to oxidation, the maximal rate of accumulation of absorbing products (Vmax) as computed from the first derivative of the time course of absorption and the maximal accumulation of absorbing products (OD max) (Ofer et al., 2007). A method based on measurement of the oxidation products such as MAD as a plasma index of lipid peroxidation (Purnima et al., 2006) was also used for OS measurement. The absorbance of the sample was determined at 535 nm (using a spectrophotometer Ultrosec 300, Pharmacia liber eragents minus the serum. The MAD concentration of the samples could be calculated using an extinction coefficient of

 $1.56 \times 10^5 \,\text{M}^{-1} \,\text{cm}^{-1}$  (Purnima et al., 2006).

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to assess the normality of data distribution. Normally distributed variables were presented as mean and standard deviation (±SD). The t test for independent samples was used for comparison of continuous variables, as appropriate. The difference of proportions between COC users and non-COC users was assessed by  $\chi^2$  test. A p value ≤0.05 was considered significant.

### RESULTS

Results of present investigation are shown in Tables 1 to 3. As it shown in Table 1 the erythrocyte G-PX activity of the PROM population was decreased significantly (-18%, P<0.005) as compared to controls. The mean G-PX activity of the PROM and normal pregnant groups was  $35.93\pm9.41$  and  $44.17\pm11.92$  U/g of Hb, respectively. The results of same comparison for erythrocyte CAT activity of the controls ( $172.07\pm47.14$  U/g of Hb) and PROM group ( $149.83\pm31.86$  U/ g of Hb) revealed a significant decrease (-20%, P≤0.001) in the PROM subjects. The erythrocyte GSH level of PROM subjects ( $6.40\pm0.43$ µmol/g of Hb) was significantly lower (-12%, P≤0.001) than that in the normal pregnant group ( $7.28\pm0.40$ µmol/g of Hb).

As shown in Table 2 the maximal rate of oxidation (Vmax) was shorter in the PROM group when compared

	Normal pregnancy (n=30)	PROM (n=30)	Р
MDA-I(nmol/gofHb)	395.47±89.84	561.63±76.54	0.001
MDA-C(nmol/gof Hb)	257.73±85.94	427.17±76.54	0.001
MDA%	63.80±9.32	75.62±3.73	0.001

Table 3. Malonaldihyde concentration in women with PROM and women with normal pregnancy.

Values are means  $\pm$  sd. MAD-I = MAD in the presence of CAT inhibitor (sodium azide as CAT inhibitor) MAD-C = MAD in the absence of CAT inhibitor. MAD%= the maximum of released MAD.

control group (0.0068±0.00003 to the versus 0.008±0.00003, OD245 nm/min, P=0.01), whereas the maximal accumulation of absorbing products (ODmax) of the PROM subjects was higher than in the controls (0.73±0.013 versus 0.68±0.017 OD245 nm, P=0.01). The lag phase, reflecting resistance of serum lipids to oxidation, was different in the PROM group when compared to the control group (47.90±2.13 versus 53.53±2.54 min, P=0.01). The maternal MAD percentage (Table 3) of the PROM group was higher than in the controls (75.62±3.73% versus 63.80±9.32%, P=0.001). All the data were analyzed by "SSP software" (version 16, for Windows). Continuous variables were compared by the two-tailed t test and two-tailed X<sup>2</sup>. P<0.05 was considered significant.

### DISCUSSION

The rupture of the fetal membranes before the onset of regular uterine contraction at preterm labor takes place in some 8 to 10% of pregnancies. This can result in an increased rate of maternal and fetal infection (Bryant-Greenwood and Millar, 2000). The results of present study demonstrated that the maternal antioxidant levels in erythrocyte decrease significantly in PROM subjects as compared to the normal pregnant population. Several studies have attempted to evaluate the antioxidants of maternal healthy pregnant and PROM pregnant women (Akihito et al., 2006). There are conflicting reports regarding to antioxidants levels changes throughout the gestation. G-PX enzyme, a component of antioxidant system, is decreased during pregnancy (Akihito et al., 2006). However, Akihito et al. (2006) showed an elevation in SOD activity throughout the normal pregnancy. In a study, Purnima et al. (2006) revealed that the maternal GSH concentration at PROM labor was significantly lower than those at normal labor (Purnima et al., 2006; Longini et al., 2007). It has been seen that lipid peroxidation products are more in case of preterm labor. GSH/G-PX system is very important in catabolizing H2O2 (Purnima et al., 2006).

They demonstrated that the G-PX activity of patients with preterm labor was higher than that in the controls. A

rise in the G-PX activity was reported in the case of preterm labor patients which may be predominant cause of GSH depletion (Purnima et al., 2006). They also showed that the SOD and CAT activities of preterm labor patients were lower than those in the control group significantly (Purnima et al., 2006). Support for the concept that ROS are involved in the pathogenesis of PROM is derived from several lines of investigation. Generation of tissue-damaging molecules, called ROS, may impair the physical integrity (elasticity and strength) of amniotic epithelium and collagen in the amnion and chorion, thus resulting in PROM (James et al., 2001). Results of the present investigation are shown in Table 1. A significant decrease was found in the erythrocyte GSH concentration of PROM subjects as compared to the normal pregnant group. The mean erythrocyte G-PX and CAT activities in PROM group were lower than those in controls. The protective antioxidant mechanisms are complex and multifactorial. The susceptibility of cells to OS is a function of overall balance between the degree of the OS and oxidant defense capability (Akihito et al., 2006).

It is suggested that the decrease in antioxidant activities probably occur in response to an increase in the OS due to an elevation in the maternal lipid peroxidation levels, which might be resulting in PROM. Present study, which examined maternal lipid peroxidation in the healthy pregnant and PROM subjects, demonstrated that the MAD concentration of PROM group was significantly higher than those in the normal pregnant. These findings make it likely that the uncontrolled lipid peroxidation caused by ROS, which are produced in the consequences of the tissue reoxygenation that may occur during pregnancy, which caused PROM. It seems that in PROM, a possible OS is responsible for the induction of mechanisms through protective an increased consumption of antioxidants. Under physiological conditions, antioxidant defense systems have evolved to counterbalance their toxic actions by limiting the amount of lipid peroxides that can be formed (Martin et al., 2008). It is suggested that the PROM probably caused by oscillated oxygenation of both maternal and fetal tissue during pregnancy. Furthermore, it has also been confirmed that the ischemic-reperfusion in human and

other species lead to production of free radicals (Akihito et al., 2006) and increased levels of ammonia may have toxic effects by generating free radicals (Fainarno et al., 2007; Loverro et al., 1997). The results of the present study indicate a disturbed antioxidant balance in case of PROM.

### Conclusion

In this study we defined that the OS in pregnancy may enhance the risks of PROM. We indicated that women experiencing PROM are subject to OS. We propose that when an OS develops early in pregnancy, intrauterine growth restriction or PROM or both may occur depending on its entity and length. A topic for future prospective clinical trials is whether dietary supplementation with antioxidants may protect the fetal membranes and decrease the risk of PROM and preterm delivery As opposed to term labor, PROM is associated with increased maternal systemic OS when compared to normal pregnant women. The role for OS in preterm PROM warrants further studies. Our results also revealed a significant decrease in antioxidant enzymes (GP-X and CAT) activities and GSH concentration of PROM group when compared to the controls. It is proposed that an imbalance existed between production and elimination of ROS in the PROM subjects and suggested the need for further study.

### **Study limitations**

A few limitations of the present study deserve comment. First a common limitation of this study was small sample size and these observations must be confirmed in a larger sample of patients with more analysis works. Other postulated limitation involving antioxidants and OS levels. variability between individuals in the diet feeding and cellular utilization and endogenous synthesis of them, the associations observed may still to some degree reflect the effect of varying metabolic responses to dietary fat and environmental situation. The other point might be considered in interpretation of these results as the role of genetic variations in adipose tissue fatty acid percentages, which we have not studied in the current project and might have affected our results. Our study is also limited by the non-representative nature of our study sample, because the pregnant subjects of southern Tehran who referred to Shahid Akbarabadi hospital have low social and economic status. This fact together with the promising results of experimental studies suggests the possibility that antioxidant replacement might become a new pharmacological approach to protect probably elevation of lipid peroxidation, antioxidant reduction and

resultant PROM of pregnant women. Therefore further studies are required to elucidate mechanism underpinning PROM risk associated with decrease antioxidant and OS increase. Further, this observation interplay between genetic and environmental factors must be thoroughly considered in order to evaluate the etiological role of OS and antioxidants in PROM.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank the Research Deputy of Tehran University of Medical Sciences for the funding of the project as well as Shahid Akbarabadi Hospital in southern Tehran for providing the samples. We acknowledge that because of relatively small number of subjects.

### REFERENCES

- Aebi H (1984). Catalaze invitro. Methods Enzymol., 105: 121-126. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(84)05016-3
- Akihito NA, Astuko OY, Hirobumi KO, Hirobumi AS, Akishige YO, Tatsuo KO, Tsutomu AR (2006). Changes in maternal lipidperoxidation levels and antioxidant enzymatic activities before and after delivery. J. Nippon Med. Sch., 67: 434-437.
- Beutler E, Duron O, Kelly BM (1963). Improved method for the determination of blood glutathione. J. Lab. Clin. Med., 61: 882-888.
- Bryant-Greenwood GD, Millar LK (2000). Human fetal membranes: their preterm premature rupture. Biol. Reprod., 63(6): 1576-1579.
- Fainarno A, Pinchuk I, Lichtenberg D, Lessing JB (2007). Serum lipid oxidizibility in term premature rupture of the membranes. Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol., 131(1): 28-31. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2006.04.013
- Fainaru O, Almog B, Pinchuk I, Kupferminc MJ, Lichtenberg D, Many A (2002). Active labour is associated with increased oxidizibility of serum lipids ex vivo. Bjog, 109(8): 938-941. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.2002.01494.x
- Fallah S, Valinejad SF, Firoozrai M (2011). Effect of contraceptive pills on the activity status of the antioxidant enzymes glutathione peroxidase and superoxide dismutase in healthy subjects. Contraception, 83: 385-389. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.contraception.2010.07.026
- James R, Woods JR, Plessinger MA, Richard KM (2001). Vitamins C and E: Missing links in preventing preterm premature rupture of membranes. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol., 185(1): 5-10. http://dx.doi.org/10.1067/mob.2001.115868
- Longini M, Perrone S, Vezzosi P, Marzocchi B, Kenanidis A, Centini G, Rosignoli L, Buonocore G (2007). Association between oxidative stress in pregnancy and preterm premature rupture of membranes. Clin. Biochem., 40(11): 793-797. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2007.03.004
- Loverro G, Greoo P, Capuano F, Carone D, Carmio G, Sevaggi L (1997). Liopoperoxidation and antioxidant enzymes activity in pregnancy complicated with hypertension. Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Rerod. Biol., 70: 123-127. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0301-2115(95)02561-8
- Martin DR, Eleanor CK, John MW, Michael JD (2008). Oxidative damage to extracellular matrix and its role in human pathologies. Free Radic. Biol. Med., 44: 1973-2001. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2008.03.016
- Nourse CB, Steer PA (1997). Perinatal outcome following conservative management of mid-trimester pre-labor rupture of the membranes. J.

Paediatr. Child Health, 33: 125-130. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1754.1997.tb01014.x

- Ofer F, Almog R, Pinchuk I, Lichtenberg D, Lessing JB, Kupferminc MJ (2007). Serum lipid oxidizibility in term premature rupture of the membranes. Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol., 131: 28-31. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2006.04.013
- Pagila D, Valentin W (1967). Studies on the quantitative and quantitative characterization of erythrocyte glutathione peroxidase. J. Lab. Clin. Med., 70: 158-69.
- Purnima DS, Tripti S, Maheshwar RS (2006). Oxidative stress and antioxidants in preterm labor. Biomed. Res., 17(1): 41-43.
- Ramkumar M, Stephen J (2007). Infection and the role of inflammation in preterm premature rupture of the membranes. Best Pract. Res. Clin. Obstet. Gynaecol., 21(3): 467-478. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2007.01.008
- Singh SK, Tandon A, Kumari S, Ravi RN, Ray GN, Batra S (1998). Changes in Anti-oxidant enzymes and lipid peroxidation in Hyaline membrane Disease. Indian J. pediatr., 65(4): 609-614. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02730907
- Tanya M, Medina M, Ashley H (2006). Preterm Premature Rupture of Membranes: Diagnosis and Management. Am. Fam. Physician, 73(4): 659-664.
- Woods JR (2001). Pathobiology oxidant stress, angiogenesis and neoplasia Reactive. Oxygen Species and Preterm Premature Rupture of Membranes—A Review. Placenta, 22(15): S38-S4. http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/plac.2001.0638

